



LIVING STREETS 5

I. INTRODUCTION

This report seeks delegated authority to implement amendments to The City of Plymouth (Traffic Regulation and Street Parking Places) (Consolidation) Order 2004 in association with the Living Streets 5 TRO.

2. TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDERS REQUIRED

2.1 The elements that need a Traffic Regulation Order are as follows:

No Waiting At Any Time

- (i) Abbotts Road, the east side from its junction with Thornhill Road for a distance of 6 metres in a southerly direction and 6 metres in a northerly direction
- (ii) Abbotts Road, the west side from its junction with Holland Road for a distance of 6 metres in a southerly direction and 6 metres in a northerly direction
- (iii) Balmoral Avenue, both sides from its junction with St George's Terrace for a distance of 6 metres in a northerly direction
- (iv) Brimhill Close, both sides from its junction with Hooksbury Avenue for a distance of 7 metres in a westerly direction
- (v) Craigmere Avenue, both sides from its junction with St George's Terrace for a distance of 6 metres in a northerly direction
- (vi) Elm Road, the north side from a point 3 metres west of the boundary of Nos. 13/15 Elm Road for a distance of 17 metres in a westerly direction
- (vii) Faringdon Road, both sides from its junction with Ladysmith Road for a distance of 6 metres in a southerly direction
- (viii) Glenfield Road, the south side from a point 9 metres east of the boundary of Nos. 66/68 Glenfield Road for a distance of 17 metres in an easterly direction
- (ix) Glenmore Avenue, both sides from its junction with St George's Terrace for a distance of 6 metres in a northerly direction

OFFICIAL

- (x) Hartwell Avenue, the east side from its junction with Sherford Road for a distance of 7 metres in a southerly direction
- (xi) Hartwell Avenue, the west side from its junction with Sherford Road for a distance of 8.5 metres in a southerly direction
- (xii) Holland Road, both sides from its junction with Abbots Road for a distance of 6 metres in a westerly direction
- (xiii) Hooksbury Avenue, the west side from its junction with Brimhill Close for a distance of 10 metres in a northerly direction
- (xiv) Kinnaird Crescent, the west side from its junction with Winnicott Close for a distance of 10 metres in a northerly and a southerly direction
- (xv) Ladysmith Road, the south side from its junction with Faringdon Road for a distance of 6 metres in an easterly and a westerly direction
- (xvi) Northampton Close, the west side from its western arm (numbers 14-17) for a distance of 12 metres in a southerly direction and 6 metres in a northerly direction
- (xvii) Queen's Gate, north and west side, from a point 5 metres east of its boundary with numbers 2 / 3 Queen's Gate for a distance of 14 metres in an easterly and a northerly direction
- (xviii) Radford Park Road, the south side from a point 7 metres north-east of the boundary of Nos. 30/32 Radford Park Road for a distance of 20 metres in a north-easterly direction
- (xix) Sherford Road, the south side from its junction with Hartwell Avenue for a distance of 10 metres in an easterly direction
- (xx) Sherford Road, the south side from its junction with Hartwell Avenue for a distance of 13 metres in a westerly direction
- (xxi) St George's Terrace, the north side from its junction with Balmoral Avenue for a distance of 6 metres in an easterly and a westerly direction
- (xxii) St George's Terrace, the north side from its junction with Craigmore Avenue for a distance of 6 metres in an easterly and a westerly direction

- (xxiii) St George's Terrace, the north side from its junction with Welsford Avenue for a distance of 6 metres in an easterly and a westerly direction
- (xxiv) St George's Terrace, the north side from its junction with Glenmore Avenue for a distance of 4 metres in an easterly direction and 6 metres in a westerly direction
- (xxv) Thornhill Road, both sides from its junction with Abbotts Road for a distance of 6 metres in an easterly direction
- (xxvi) Welsford Avenue, both sides from its junction with St George's Terrace for a distance of 6 metres in a northerly direction
- (xxvii) Winnicott Close, the north side from its junction with Kinnaird Crescent for a distance of 6 metres in a westerly direction
- (xxviii) Winnicott Close, the south side from its junction with Kinnaird Crescent for a distance of 7 metres in a westerly direction

REVOCATIONS

No Waiting Mon-Sat 8am-5pm

- (i) Craigmore Avenue, the east side, from its junction with St George's Terrace for a distance of 7 metres in a southerly direction
- (ii) Craigmore Avenue, the east side, from a point 23 metres south of its junction with St George's Terrace for a distance of 8 metres in a southerly direction

Limited Waiting To 30 Mins No Return For 2 Hours Mon-Sat 7am-4pm

Craigmore Avenue, the east side, from a point 7 metres south of its junction with St George's Terrace for a distance of 16 metres in a southerly direction

3. STATUTORY CONSULTATION

Proposals

The proposals for the Living Streets 5 TRO were advertised on street, in the Herald and on the Plymouth City Council website on 11th November 2022. Details of the proposals were sent to the Councillors representing the affected wards and statutory consultees on 07th November 2022.

There have been 35 representations received relating to the proposals included in the Traffic Regulation Order.

There have been 5 representations received relating to Glenfield Road

Consultation	Comments
<p>I fully agree with the need to resolve parking at the entrance to Glenholt Park but seek a little clarity on exactly how far the yellow lines will extend in a westerly direction. Often with cars parked on both north and south sides of the road at the east end of Glenfield Road it makes exiting driveways very hazardous. I fear that if the yellow lines do not extend to the west of the drive the problems we currently encounter will be exacerbated. The indication is that the yellow lines will commence 9 metres from my boundary with number 66. Reducing this distance to allow 1 car to park outside of Nos 66/68 would alleviate this issue. Alternatively restricting parking on the North side would also help. This matter has been previously been raised with the relevant councillor.</p> <p>The point for consideration was could the yellow lines be extended further to the west. With cars parked close to my drive (occasionally not iaw the Highway Code) and with cars parked opposite it creates both a dangerous and sometimes difficult manoeuvre to turn left from my drive. I suspect that the current proposal (whilst helping) will cause 2 cars to try and park between nos 66 and 68 which will worsen my situation.</p>	<p>The plan and measurements were sent for reference.</p> <p>I'm sorry but we cannot extend or add any additional lining to a proposal once it has gone to public consultation. We will observe and monitor the area for the next 12 months for road safety issues and if it is necessary to make any additions, we will include them in next year's TRO batch.</p> <p>Glenfield Road is a slow traffic area and with most properties, having their own off street parking facilities it is unlikely partial obstruction of visibility will have a serious effect on resident's ability to join the road from their private residency.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I write to express my objection to the proposed double yellow line in Glenfield Road. The reasoning for the order is stated as: "To protect Entrance of Glenholt Park". We do not see this as a good reason to remove the on street parking which is used by the visitors and residents of 75 Glenfield Road. There are 5 flats in this block with no parking spaces. The original planning permission indeed did not require parking spaces as on street parking was available.</p> <p>We would like to express our objections on the following basis:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The street is wide enough to accommodate on street parking without blocking the entrance to Glenholt Park. Hence the reasoning for the TRO is invalid. 2. The council for many years has neglected renewing the give way line at the entrance to Birches resulting in confusion as to who has the priority at this junction. We believe instead of traffic orders a simple renewal of road marking 	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>I have analysed the past five years' worth of data and can confirm that there have not been any personal injury collisions in this location.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>

<p>would be most beneficial to the residents. Please see below for a rough sketch.</p> <p>3. As far as we are aware there have been no accidents in the vicinity of this area due to the parked cars. Please can you provide accident records over the past 5 years.</p> <p>4. We believe provision of double yellow lines will force residents to park on the footways of the Birches and Glenfield Road, which would adversely affect the route of pedestrians and disabled.</p> <p>As such we would be grateful if the proposed traffic order is refused and instead the safety of the street is improved by the renewal of the road markings.</p>	
<p>I write to express my objection to the proposed double yellow line in Glenfield Road. The reasoning for the order is stated as: "To protect Entrance of Glenholt Park". We do not see this as a good reason to remove the on street parking which is used by the visitors and residents of 75 Glenfield Road. There are 5 flats in this block with no parking spaces. The original planning permission indeed did not require parking spaces as on street parking was available.</p> <p>Myself and many others will not have anywhere to park remotely near our home, not only is this a huge inconvenience to us, it will affect the people living on surrounding streets. Without allocated parking spaces, how can you expect us to stay in the area.</p> <p>We would like to express our objections on the following basis:</p> <p>1. The street is wide enough to accommodate on street parking without blocking the entrance to Glenholt Park. Hence the reasoning for the TRO is invalid.</p> <p>2. The council for many years has neglected renewing the give way line at the entrance to Birches resulting in confusion as to who has the priority at this junction. We believe instead of traffic orders a simple renewal of road marking would be most beneficial to the residents. Please see below for a rough sketch.</p> <p>3. As far as we are aware there have been no accidents in the vicinity of this area due to the parked cars. Please can you provide accident records over the past 5 years.</p> <p>4. We believe provision of double yellow lines will force residents to park on the footways of the Birches and Glenfield Road, which would adversely affect the route of pedestrians and disabled.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>I have analysed the past five years' worth of data and can confirm that there have not been any personal injury collisions in this location.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>

<p>As such we would be grateful if the proposed traffic order is refused and instead the safety of the street is improved by the renewal of the road markings.</p> <p>I would also suggest that potentially a permit zone could be added in place of double yellows if the idea stated is not viable.</p> <p>Finally, I would like to state that this feels targeted towards the properties who do not have access to a parking space/driveway as access is not affected at all as it currently stands. I have witnessed emergency vehicles and larger vans/trucks easily passing through and into the holiday park. Driveways are also left accessible in the areas and have easy access to cars and larger vehicles.</p>	
<p>I would like to contest the double yellow lines going at the end of Glenfield Road leading into Glenholt.</p> <p>As the area lost the carpark which was beside the Gatehouse several years ago, parking hasn't been the easiest at that end of the street. There is plenty of room for any emergency vehicles to get through and would encourage anybody living or visiting in the street to dangerously park elsewhere. I urge you to reconsider the proposal</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I write to express my objection to the proposed double yellow line in Glenfield Road. The reasoning for the order is stated as: "To protect Entrance of Glenholt Park". We do not see this as a good reason to remove the on street parking which is used by the visitors and residents of 75 Glenfield Road. There are 5 flats in this block with no parking spaces. The original planning permission indeed did not require parking spaces as on street parking was available.</p> <p>We would like to express our objections on the following basis:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The street is wide enough to accommodate on street parking without blocking the entrance to Glenholt Park. Hence the reasoning for the TRO is invalid. 2. The council for many years has neglected renewing the give way line at the entrance to Birches resulting in confusion as to who has the priority at this junction. We believe instead of traffic orders a simple renewal of road marking would be most beneficial to the residents. Please see below for a rough sketch. 	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>I have analysed the past five years' worth of data and can confirm that there have not been any personal injury collisions in this location.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>

<p>3. As far as we are aware there have been no accidents in the vicinity of this area due to the parked cars. Please can you provide accident records over the past 5 years.</p> <p>4. We believe provision of double yellow lines will force residents to park on the footways of the Birches and Glenfield Road, which would adversely affect the route of pedestrians and disabled.</p> <p>As such we would be grateful if the proposed traffic order is refused and instead the safety of the street is improved by the renewal of the road markings.</p>	
--	--

There has been 1 representation received relating to Ladysmith Road and Faringdon Road

Consultation	Comments
<p>I have just seen the proposal to insert 6m no stopping areas on “each side of Ladysmith Road, the south side from its junction with Faringdon Road for a distance of 6 metres in an easterly and a westerly direction”. This will then take away parking for about 8 cars on streets that are already near impossible to park on!</p> <p>I completely understand that this is to stop the dangerous parking on the junction, but, surely a 2m restriction will do this and still allow 4 more residents to park near their homes. Or maybe allow more residents to put in dropped curbs to park 2 cars in driveways or introduce permits or stop allowing the homes along Ladysmith road to become HMOs and creating even more problems with parking!?</p> <p>Please can you let me know what other options have been considered.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>The proposal for six metres is the minimum amount of restriction that Plymouth Highways can propose because junction protection requires a cars length.</p> <p>To apply for a vehicle crossing there are set criteria required, this can be found on the below link:</p> <p>https://www.plymouth.gov.uk/dropped-kerbs</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>

There has been 1 representation received relating to Queens Gate

Consultation	Comment
<p>Thank you for the proposals to set limits on waiting times on the corner of the two roads nearest the park gate.</p> <p>I welcome the proposals.</p> <p>I would like to give the following feedback-</p> <p>Parking directly next to the Park Gate can also make the road impassible to larger vehicles, for example, I think a fire engine or ambulance would still struggle to access Queen's Gate residences even if the opposite corner is kept clear.</p> <p>Unsure whether this has been considered?</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>The current proposal is just for the inner bend because this was the main point of obstruction, we were provided with evidence and made observations when visiting the site. If the proposal does go ahead we will continue to monitor this location.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any</p>

<p>We had an incident where a large lorry couldn't make the turn and ended up reversing and knocked down one of the Park Gate pillars.</p> <p>Luckily no one was injured, however it is a very tight turn and if the gate corner was free of parking that could be safer for all.</p>	<p>concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
---	---

There has been 1 representation received relating to St Georges Terrace

Consultation	Comments
<p>The planned changes are for double yellow lines on the corners of the Avenues joining St. George's Terrace. These being Balmoral, Craigmore, Glenmore and Welsford Avenues.</p> <p>I agree with the plans. This has been needed for a long time, cars park over the end of the Avenues cause considerable problems for all types of vehicles, especially vans, when trying to turn the corners. Ambulances would often stand no chance, which would cause serious delay. There have been occasions when I cannot even drive my car around a corner from St. George's Terrace into an Avenue. A wheelchair cannot get down any dropped kerb. A pram would have to be pushed into the road. Enough of this. The safety of those needing to negotiate pavements and the access for vehicles, especially an ambulance, needs to be addressed. If the laws on these corners was enforced the lines you propose would extend even more into the Avenues and St. George's Terrace, so you have struck a fair balance. I have spoken to many people about this proposal and none have voiced objections. Some will object I am sure, mainly because it further restricts parking spaces, but as I say, parking that way causes a hazard to many.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>

There have been 28 representations received relating to Radford Park Road

Consultation	Comments
<p>I'm sure you will be having a huge number of objections to the plans to put double yellow lines on Radford Pk Rd. I would also like to object as our doctors surgery is there and those spaces are an absolute necessity for my disabled mother.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>

<p>I object to making this area no waiting or parking as I attend Dean Cross surgery on Radford Park road, I have limited mobility so need my car to get my medical needs sorted, this would cause me extreme distress as I would struggle to attend the Dr's if I couldn't park outside</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I am writing in support of the proposed parking restrictions for Radford Park Road, Plymstock.</p> <p>Myself and neighbours that use the access road that discharges onto Radford Park Road feel the proposal will improve road safety when using the access road.</p> <p>I have been advised that the Doctors Surgery in Radford Park Road, are using their Facebook facility to encourage objections against this proposal! I would just wish to highlight that I believe it is only a 20m length of roadway affected by this proposal and I am concerned that people do not fully understand what they are objecting against. Staff from the surgery also park during the daytime, but there will still be a vast length of unrestricted parking available, for patients and staff.</p> <p>This afternoon I contacted Dean Cross Surgery with the intention of speaking with the Practice Manager. I was hoping to establish if the instigator of the Facebook post asking for people to oppose the published parking proposal for Radford Park Road, was fully aware of the limited extent of parking that was being removed.</p> <p>The initial member of staff I talked with, seemed to think that parking restrictions were being imposed on the whole length of the roadway.</p> <p>When I explained it was only a 20m length she connected me with the Practice Manager, who kindly offered to have a site meeting to fully understand and discuss the situation.</p> <p>We met just after 1600hrs the same afternoon and the Practice manager agreed there might well have been a misunderstanding by persons responding to the Facebook post.</p> <p>It was agreed that from the Facebook post issued by the Surgery, patients and staff may well have thought all parking facilities, currently available were being restricted.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293, I can confirm that we have received both of your emails.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p> <p>I can confirm that the Senior Engineer, the Ward Councillors and the Cabinet Member will be informed of this situation.</p>

<p>It was agreed to arrange for a further Facebook post to clarify it was only 20m (approximately 4 car lengths) of restrictions proposed and it was some distance from the Surgery.</p> <p>I would respectfully request that you submit this latest information to the person or persons making the decision on whether to implement these new parking restrictions.</p> <p>I believe many of those opposing this parking restriction may well not be fully aware of the road safety reasons, and the very limited impact it will have for staff and patients involved at the Surgery.</p>	
<p>I have read that there is a proposal to make lengths of Radford Park Road a no waiting area. I have attended Dean Cross Doctors Surgery most of my life and I can see the impact of this proposal would be very serious, particularly for the older generation as there is no parking anywhere nearby. Also the collection of prescriptions would be difficult.</p> <p>I would therefore like to register my objection for this proposal.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I am writing to object to your proposed restrictions on radford park Road, Plymstock.</p> <p>My doctors surgery is on that stretch, it will make parking a big problem and only clog up other roads around the area making for more chaos.</p> <p>I've been at that surgery for 50 years and always park on the mentioned above road, so why now change ??</p> <p>It's totally ridiculous, the people of Plymstock need to access the surgery as easily as possible, patients in wheelchairs and patients using walking frames ect don't want to be parked miles away.</p> <p>I strongly object to this proposal, why change something that has worked well for over half a century.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>As a patient of Dean Cross Surgery I would like to object to the proposed changes on Radford Park Road.</p> <p>There is minimal parking already and no car park. They have an elderly practice population who rely on their car to visit the practice. Removing this section of parking will only have a negative impact.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p>

	<p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I'd like to raise my concerns over the waiting/parking restrictions proposed for Radford Park Road. My mother is very elderly and has severe mobility issues and if I'm unable to park/drop her by the Deans Cross surgery when she has to attend an appointment I'm not sure what we'll do. Sadly I'm sure I'm not the only one who will have this problem with elderly or disabled relatives.</p> <p>Thank you for reading this.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I would formally like to raise an objection to the proposed permanent changes you wish to make to Radford Park Road, PL9.</p> <p>I understand that you want to take away the parking spaces outside of the GP surgery and make it a non parking zone. This is an incredibly ridiculous idea.</p> <p>Parking along this road is hard enough without making the elderly and patients with mobility issues struggle to access medical care. Where do expect them to park?</p> <p>Also, as a home owner on this street, you will affect the residents. This will make it harder for us to park by our own homes, we don't all have drives and again, there are a lot of elderly residents and people living with disabilities in this area.</p> <p>I hope you will reconsider your proposal and maybe think about putting the money towards speed bumps to stop the road being treated like Silverstone.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I greatly object to living streets 5 for radford park road.</p> <p>The reason behind this is because of the amount of vulnerable and sick people who this will have a major effect on.</p> <p>Please reconsider this action.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I would like to voice my objection to the proposed amendment of adding no waiting at any time on the length of Radford Park Road. The reason Plymouth</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p>

<p>City Council seems to give for wanting the change is "to allow vehicles to manoeuvre safely and increase visibility". However, this road is wide enough for a row of parked cars to not impede traffic in either direction.</p> <p>Also, in the last 4 years there only seem to have been reports of three incidents along Radford Park Road; one being a pedestrian being hit at 10pm, one drunk driver, who was not from the local area, colliding with parked cars, and one car hitting a lamppost on a Sunday afternoon. None of these seem to warrant the need to impose the suggested parking restrictions.</p> <p>Radford Park Road is the location of a busy Doctors Surgery, which does not have its own car park. Where does Plymouth City Council expect staff and patients to park while accessing the surgery? If there is no parking allowed on Radford Park Road, it will push the cars onto the smaller, narrower residential streets, which surely will cause more disturbance to local residents, and be a greater safety risk as visibility along these smaller streets will decrease.</p> <p>Plymouth City Council should not be wasting money on this, especially at this time of financial crisis. It is clearly an ill-considered option and is more likely to anger users of the surgery and residents of the surrounding area, whilst accomplishing little to none of the impacts the project is proposed to have.</p>	<p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I would like to submit an objection to the planned changes to the parking on Radford park Road. My family and I are patients at the Doctors on that street and have had regularly have to take my elderly Grandparent to the doctors. It's already a mission due to the the already lack of parking in the area and her failing mobility yet fiercely independent persona. I feel like adding double yellows to this will add to an already difficult situation for patients and also residents in the area. People (there can't be many) who cannot turn their car in that area could simply turn left and right up Drakes way. This would be a much more practical solution to the problem. I really hope you review the complaints and objections from patients and residents alike and reconsider your decision.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>Seeing the notices on lampposts along Radford Park Road, I would just like to know what we are having "No waiting" signs or marking on this death trap of a road.</p> <p>I've made numerous complaints of the accidents we've had on this road, not to mention the fatality</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>The proposal is for 20 metres of double yellow lines as per the attached plan, we are currently in the consultation period until 02nd December 2022.</p>

<p>we've had on our street and all that PCC wants to do is put "No waiting" in place? Baffles me!</p> <p>As a street, we've been asking for either speed cameras or speed bumps to slow this road down. What are u getting?</p> <p>Any reply would be much appreciated or please feel free to post on whatever website you feel is necessary.</p>	<p>Plymouth City Council hold speed data for Radford Park Road from 2020 which shows that the 85th percentile speed was 35.7mph. The 85th Percentile Speed is the speed that 85 percent of vehicles do not exceed. Speed surveys of this type are typically reliable for at least 5 years and we would usually not repeat them at less than 3 year intervals as experience shows that there is very little variation picked up unless there has been a significant change in the road layout, however I can inform you that Radford Park Road is currently on the request list for another Speed Detection Radar and we will be reviewing the speeds once the data is retrieved.</p> <p>As a highway authority we receive many requests from communities and individuals requesting speed enforcement in their local community. These requests are genuine concerns from people who fear that speeding traffic in their area will eventually result in a collision or casualty. For enforcement to take place we have agreed a protocol with the Police that we will investigate and gather speed data for analysis prior to any potential enforcement activity. Unfortunately, the Police or the Safety Camera Partnership do not deploy mobile camera vehicles or equipment to areas where speeds are less than 37 mph. When considering the placement of safety camera enforcement systems, there is a strict criteria that includes collisions and speed compliance. This ensures that wherever such systems are placed they have maximum effect.</p> <p>Another way to monitor speeds is for residents to contact the police and investigate the possibility of joining the Community Speed Watch Scheme, more information can be found by following the link below:</p> <p>https://www.devon-cornwall.police.uk/support-and-guidance/on-the-road/speed-watch/get-involved-with-speed-watch</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I am writing to object to the parking order being made on Radford Park Road. Firstly you should note the order is incorrect and states Randwick</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p>

Park Road for reference this is about 500 meters further North in Pomphlet.

The order describes the insertion of a 20 meter strip of double yellow lines to ensure safety of vehicles coming out of the service road which has functioned for at least the last 15 years without this. The insertion of this strip will force parking elsewhere. It has nowhere to move to other than up the road decanting limited parking for other residents. This parking is already crowded as a result of the Doctors surgery and pharmacy all of which has been able to 'manage itself' well for some time and we are happy to keep this going. The removal of a 20 m strip though will compound the problem although I recognise its the cheapest option in your tool kit.

The real issue is the speed of vehicles travelling along the road which makes this junction a potential issue. You have and continue to do nothing to slow traffic on this road making it a race track for both cars and motorbikes at all times of the day and night. A better more expensive option is speed cameras and enforcement rather than yellow lines. Yellow lines reduce the constriction caused by parked vehiclea and will increase the speed as a result.

My other concern is that the order appeared yesterday alongside the cones giving only four days for emails to reach you limiting response. Not only do the cones extend a lot further than the work ie by hundreds of yards but it's clear if you are already coning off that our opinions don't matter. It's happening!

The lack of consultation is annoying and as we don't all read the Herald or the Council Main notice board. Residents are being denied an opportunity to contribute. However having published the notice with the wrong address you at the very least must start again perhaps this added time provides an opportunity to consult properly.

Please respond to my email and confirm receipt with a direct point of contact and a telephone number not just an email dead drop.

Apologies that there was an administrative error within the Traffic Regulation Order, however the plan, street notices and press advertisements are correct. The error on the Order has now been rectified.

The cones on the highway are not related to this Traffic Regulation Order but I have sent an inspector out to site to investigate this.

Plymouth City Council hold speed data for Radford Park Road from 2020 which shows that the 85th percentile speed was 35.7mph. The **85th Percentile Speed** is the speed that 85 percent of vehicles do not exceed. Speed surveys of this type are typically reliable for at least 5 years and we would usually not repeat them at less than 3 year intervals as experience shows that there is very little variation picked up unless there has been a significant change in the road layout, however I can inform you that Radford Park Road is currently on the request list for another Speed Detection Radar.

As a highway authority we receive many requests from communities and individuals requesting speed enforcement in their local community. These requests are genuine concerns from people who fear that speeding traffic in their area will eventually result in a collision or casualty. For enforcement to take place we have agreed a protocol with the Police that we will investigate and gather speed data for analysis prior to any potential enforcement activity. Unfortunately, the Police or the Safety Camera Partnership do not deploy mobile camera vehicles or equipment to areas where speeds are less than 37 mph. When considering the placement of safety camera enforcement systems, there is a strict criteria that includes collisions and speed compliance. This ensures that wherever such systems are placed they have maximum effect.

This proposal was advertised on street, in the Plymouth Herald and on Plymouth City Councils website on 11th November 2022 and comments can be received until 02nd December 2022.

Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.

You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.

<p>I am objecting to the proposed changes to parking in Radford Park Road. It affects patients of Dean Cross Surgery, lots of disabled, elderly and poorly people who struggle to find parking spaces now, let alone with the proposal.</p> <p>Surely you have not contacted the correct NHS department ie GP surgery etc and relevant Councillors as you would know there is a local, excellent GP surgery that has no car park - I belong to it.</p> <p>There have been few issues for over 5 decades so why are changes needed now?</p> <p>Removing part of this section of parking is crazy when there are other, more logical solutions. You could move the central white line, put a speed camera at 30, traffic lights for pedestrians to cross and slow traffic, buy part of the Drakes Drum car park if they're willing to sell, plus many more cheaper solutions. Where are people that live along the road supposed to park too.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I refer to your proposal Living Streets 5 Order no. 2022.2137293 in relation to the parking prohibition on Radford Park Road. I do not agree that these proposed works will make the area safer and I believe it is likely to increase illegal/dangerous parking.</p> <p>As a local resident of 11 years I regularly walk to this surgery and the parking of cars on this stretch of road has never been an issue. I have however experienced issues crossing the zebra crossing with cars not stopping when they are driving too fast or not paying attention. I am also a driver and regularly (weekly) drive along Radford Park Road and have never experienced any issue with people parking on this area (other than waiting a few moments while people park - although this is surely an advantage slowing the flow of traffic).</p> <p>As there is no car park for Dean Cross Surgery and with insufficient parking on Quarry Park Road and Drake Way to facilitate patients wanting to attend the surgery if this plan is implemented, I do not see where disabled, vulnerable and poorly people will park.</p> <p>Please reconsider this plan that I believe is unnecessary.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>As elderly patients of Dean Cross Surgery we would like to register our objections to the proposed parking changes to Radford Park Road. Parking as close to the surgery as possible is essential for us and many others in this already congested area.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In</p>

	<p>line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>Please accept this email as an objection to this proposal. This will massively effect the parking for the Dean Cross Surgery. It is already a horrendous problem to park anywhere close for elderly, infirm or disabled people. Unless you can provide a dedicated car park alternative this restriction should not go ahead.</p> <p>There are other places which need attention but seem to be ignored, for example the stretch of Stanborough Road from Dunstone Drive down to Church Road crossroads, which desperately needs yellow lines for the safety of pedestrians.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I would like to raise my objections to the proposed permanent changes to parking regulations you wish to make to Radford Park Road, PL9.</p> <p>If you make this road 'No Parking at any time' where do you suggest the elderly and patients with mobility problems or any patients for that matter can park as there is nowhere else in this area, obvious the persons who came up with this idea has not done their homework correctly.</p> <p>May I make the suggestion that you put 'NO RIGHT TURN' on the exit of 'Quarry Park Road' this would stop the problem of traffic turning towards parked cars. If this were to be done there would be no need for Yellow Lines and the elderly and patients with mobility problems could still park near the doctors surgery.</p> <p>I appreciate there is no easy solution to the problem but would it not be better to go back to the drawing board and to take a realistic look at the needs of the patients of Dean Cross Surgery and residence along this stretch of road.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I wish to register my objections to the proposed new parking restrictions on Radford Park Road and in particular how this will affect access to the Doctors Surgery. My family regularly need to take my 89 year old mother-in-law to appointments at the surgery and we rely on being able to stop outside the entrance, with one of us accompanying</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any</p>

<p>her inside whilst another drives off to find a place to park. She is very frail and needs the use of a stick and a person on her other side linking her arm, she is fiercely independent and will not agree to using a wheelchair as 'she can walk'. How on earth are we going to get her to the surgery when there is nowhere to park? There is no way she can walk any distance and with winter weather conditions, I am extremely concerned that she will become poorly by spending too long outside in the cold and rain struggling to get to her appointment.</p> <p>Instead of restrictions, there should be a dedicated spaces for drop offs - no parking, just dropping off. In addition, where are the occupants of the houses next to the Surgery meant to park?</p>	<p>concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I would like to raise my concern with regard to the proposal above. I am a blue badge holder and use the doctors surgery on Radford Park Road, plymstock. There is no parking around the surgery and with your proposals would make a trip to the GP surgery almost impossible.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I am writing to object to the proposed no waiting restrictions along Radford Park Road.</p> <p>I feel this would be an unnecessary restriction to the parking of both residents and visitors to local businesses including the doctors surgery and chemist. This will greatly affect the largely elderly population who rely on parking close to the surgery for their health care.</p> <p>With regards to the safety of cars exiting the service road, I do not feel this will improve the safety of the few cars that use the lane. Driving with sue care and attention and maybe reduction of the speed limit to 20mg or a couple of speed bumps would have the same desired effect.</p> <p>I would urge you to reconsider these changes.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I would like to voice my concerns regarding restrictions to parking/waiting on Radford Park Road, Plymstock,near Dean Cross Surgery. As an ex practice nurse at this surgery I would like to point out difficulties our elderly & disabled patients have getting to the surgery, there is no designated Surgery parking, many patients have mobility issues so restricting access further would cause massive complications to a lot of people, surely a safer option could be to introduce a 20 mile/hour speed</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to</p>

<p>restriction to minimise risk & allocate further disabled only parking/ drop off, camera's installed to monitor in drop off zones??</p> <p>I do feel more thought is required in this instance on what is a busy, dangerous road but suitable access is desperately required for the elderly & disabled.</p>	<p>proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I am dismayed to read of the planned alterations to the two hour parking bays on Radford Park Road. There are a great deal of elderly and mobility challenged patients who use this surgery and they will have nowhere to park, thus causing them great difficulty. I cannot see any purpose to this decision. You would be better served putting in a 20mph speed limit.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I would like to put a formal objection to the yellow lines being put outside deans cross surgery in radford Park.</p> <p>The reason for the objection is there isn't enough parking for patients and staff as there is no allocated car park so you have to park on the streets the surgery has a lot of elderly patients who rely on there cars.</p> <p>A lot of the time you struggle to park and have to park streets away which the elderly struggle to walk from removing the parking will have a negative impact on home owners, patients and staff.</p> <p>Please take into consideration the objection.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I would like to raise my objection to the proposed parking restrictions above.</p> <p>This will directly effect Dean Cross Doctor Surgery who are not privileged enough to have any manner of parking facility. The patients of Dean Cross are greatly ageing and these changes will be discriminatory to elderly and disabled patients.</p> <p>I feel this is a money grab. PCC say they have no money for road safety measures around schools but then spend money on calculated moves like this that will create revenue by imposing fines that some elderly will be forced to pay as their only means of being able to visit the doctors surgery.</p> <p>Disgusting waste of public finance to which I strongly object.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I would formally like to raise an objection to the proposed permanent changes you wish to make to Radford Park Road, PL9. I understand that you</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293, I can confirm that we have received both of your emails.</p>

<p>want to take away the parking spaces outside of the GP surgery and make it a non parking zone. This is an incredibly ridiculous idea. Parking along this road is hard enough without making the elderly and patients with mobility issues struggle to access medical care. Where do expect them to park?</p> <p>I hope you will reconsider your proposal and maybe think about putting the money towards speed bumps to stop the road being treated like Silverstone.</p> <p>I am a disabled person who uses crutches and need to park near the surgery. I cannot use buses as I almost fell over on two occasions when the bus moved off and struggled getting down the isle when busy with prams and people standing, plus walking a distance when crippled with pain and paths wet and slipper. I can't use a bus. I can't use a taxi as difficult to get in as too low and leg room issues and expensive. Too far to walk on crutches due to hills and I cannot walk far and days when I severely struggle to walk.</p> <p>Disabled and elderly people are now going to be penalised. For some it is going to be a real struggle to park anywhere close to get to their appointment which means they may not go to their GP when they really need to. Another way off stopping people getting medical help and treatment because of the anxiety of trying to parking near due to mobility issues. It feels like discrimination against disabled people and the elderly with mobility issues to access a GP.</p>	<p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>I am writing to object to the proposed changes to the parking restrictions at the location. The consequences of new restrictions on patients attending Dean Cross Surgery would be enormous, many of the patients are elderly and with very limited parking nearby this would cause great distress. No parking would also have an impact on people picking up prescriptions at the Well Chemist.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport.</p> <p>You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.</p>
<p>On behalf of Dean Cross Surgery I would like to place an objection to the planned alteration along Radford Park Road to add a no waiting restriction of 20 meters.</p> <p>This will impact our patients and staff greatly as we do not have the privilege of a car park for them to use. We have a elderly practice population who rely on their car to visit the practice to access care.</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent comments towards the proposals – 2022.2137293.</p> <p>Your comments have been logged on our records and will be considered as part of the final decision making process. At the end of the consultation period, a report will be prepared summarising any concerns that have been raised and making recommendations. In line with the statutory process, the decision on whether or not to</p>

Please confirm receipt of our objection	proceed with these proposals will be made by the Cabinet Member for Transport. You will be notified if and when the proposals will be implemented.
---	---

There have been no representations received relating to the other proposals included in the Traffic Regulation Order.

4. RECOMMENDATION

After reviewing all comments received, our recommendations are below:

Abandon the Radford Park Road proposal.

All other proposals are recommended to be implemented as advertised.

5. LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

The lawful implications and consequences of the proposal have been considered and taken into account in the preparation of this report.

When considering whether to make a traffic order it is the Council's responsibility to ensure that all relevant legislation is complied with. This includes Section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (as amended) that sets out that it is the duty of a local authority, so far as practicable subject to certain matters, to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians) and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on and off the highway. It is considered that the proposals comply with Section 122 of the Act as they practically secure the safe and expeditious movement of traffic in and around Plymouth and provide for suitable and adequate associated parking facilities.